

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/997,426	11/29/2001	Tyler Thorp	03226/136001; P6821	6431
32615	7590 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800			THOMPSON, ANNETTE M	
HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	И	• 1	۲

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/997,426	THORP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
A. M. Thompson	2825	

Advisory Action	09/997,426	THORP ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. M. Thompson	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acoure o
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.0.17,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	•		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ii be entered and an e	Apidilation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,6 and 10</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-9 and 13-15</u> .	·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		A. M. Thompson	XL
		Primary Examiner	M
	Tec	hnology Center 2800	(4 1)